#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

0

# **PCT**

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C. KIVLIN, B. Noel P.O. Box 398

Austin, Texas 78767-0398 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
24 August 2006 (24.08.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 5681-78401

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/US2005/004317

International filing date (day/month/year) 10 February 2005 (10.02.2005) Priority date (day/month/year) 10 February 2004 (10.02.2004)

Applicant

SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

Docketed SEP 2 1 2006

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt09@wipo.int

14

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 5681-73401	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/US2005/004317	International filing date (day/month/year) 10 February 2005 (10.02.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10 February 2004 (10.02.2004)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
		ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference eport on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority			
		Date of issuance of this report 14 August 2006 (14.08.2006)			

Authorized officer

e-mail: pt09@wipo.int

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	DRITY		REC'D 2 2 JUN 2005			
То:			WIPO PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)  Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. PCT/US2005/004317	International filing date (da 10.02.2005	day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 10.02.2004				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F12/08						
Applicant SUN MYCROSYSTEMS, INC.						
<ul> <li>☑ Box No. I Basis of the op</li> <li>☑ Box No. II Priority</li> <li>☐ Box No. III Non-establish</li> <li>☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o</li> <li>☑ Box No. V Reasoned stat applicability; ci</li> <li>☐ Box No. VI Certain docum</li> <li>☐ Box No. VII Certain observ</li> <li>☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ</li> </ul>	o. II Priority o. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability o. IV Lack of unity of invention lo. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement lo. VI Certain documents cited lo. VII Certain defects in the international application lo. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
<ol> <li>FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</li> <li>For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</li> </ol>						
Name and mailing address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer				

<u>a</u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Filip, L

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4156



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/004317

_	Box I	No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With the la	Vith regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ne language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	lá	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With neces	regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and sarry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	e of material:					
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. for	mat of material:				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. tin	ne of filling/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4	. Addi	tional comments:				
-	Box	No. II Priority				
1	. 🖾	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.				
2	2. 🗆	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.				
-	۸ ما م	litional observations if necessary				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

5,10

No: Claims

1-4,6-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 764 945 (BALLARD ET AL) 9 June 1998 (1998-06-09)

D2: US 2003/120868 A1 (ROYER ROBERT J ET AL) 26 June 2003 (2003-06-26)

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

D1 discloses:

A storage system (Fig. 3 - "OPTICAL MEDIA CACHE 100") comprising:

- a cache (Fig. 3 "SECONDARY CACHE 104") configured to store a plurality of data blocks in a first plurality of locations (column 10, lines 65-67), wherein said cache is a non-volatile storage (column 7, lines 43-47);
- a first metadata storage (Fig. 3 "SECONDARY CACHE 104") including a plurality of entries configured to store metadata including block addresses of data blocks within said cache (column 10, lines 60-65), wherein said first metadata storage is a non-volatile storage (column 7, lines 43-47); and
- a second metadata storage (Fig. 3 "PRIMARY CACHE 102") including a second plurality of locations configured to store metadata including said block addresses identifying said data blocks within said cache and further including pointers to said data blocks within said cache (column 14, lines 18-23, column 10, lines 45-46), wherein said second metadata storage is a volatile storage (column 3, lines 6-10).
- 3 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 6 defining the same subject-matter in terms of method steps, which